

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,809	10/30/2000	Jeff L. DeJong	119941-1083	3391
75	90 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
Daniel F Perez Esq			HUTSON, RICHARD G	
Gardere & Wynne LLP 3000 Thanksgiving Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1601 Elm Street			1652	
Dallas, TX 75201-4761			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/703,809	DEJONG, JEFF L.
Authory Notion	Examin r	Art Unit
	Richard G Hutson	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover she t wi	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required the final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	r: (1) a timely filed amendmen speal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b	p)]
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).	pire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	riod of extension and the correspond te of the shortened statutory period to Office later than three months after	fing amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	ed because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because	t for reconsideration has beer : See Continuation Sheet.	n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>86-107</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State		•
10. Other:	montes (Tras) raper r	
		port ly
		Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment of claim 86 introduces a new 112 second paragraph issue as claims 87-88, improperly broaden the scope of the genus of claimed proteins of the claim from which they depend, claim 86. Further applicants proposed amendment of claim 86 would result in claims 89 and 86 being duplicative. Further applicants proposed amendment of claim 9 would introduce a new 112 second paragraph issue, specifically, applicants intent in claiming a fusion protein comprising a portion of the protein of claim 92, which as has been previously stated reads on a single amino acid, and "another protein sequence", which also reads on a single amino acid.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all of the rejections of record remain based on the nonentry of applicants amendment.. In response to applicants argument, regarding the current 102 renjection, applicants are reminded that the rejected claims are NOT directed to "a protein having a particular, novel amino acid sequence" but rather the rejected claims are directed to "a fusion protein comprising a portion of a protein (TFIIA alpha/beta like factor) and a non TFIIA like factor. And as previously stated a "portion of a protein", whatever it may be, is anticipated by a single amino acid.